2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

``15 . 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

MARTIN L. MEYERS, M.D.

Holder of License No. **27917**For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-03-0826A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

(Letter of Reprimand)

The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on April 15, 2004. Martin L. Meyers, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared before the Board with legal counsel Robert Milligan for a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). After due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter, the Board voted to issue the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 27917 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. In August 2003 the Board received information that Respondent was suspended from Page Hospital after he was subjected to a urine screen that revealed the presence of Nubain. Respondent had a previous history of drug abuse and had received treatment approximately 20 years ago.
- 4. On August 21, 2003 Respondent voluntarily submitted himself to an evaluation by Michael Sucher, M.D., the Board's contracted addiction medicine specialist. Based on his evaluation of Respondent, Dr. Sucher recommended that Respondent

remove himself from the practice of medicine and undergo an inpatient evaluation and any recommended treatment. Respondent agreed with Dr. Sucher's recommendations and, on August 28, 2003, signed an Interim Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction and Inpatient or Residential Evaluation/Treatment ("Interim Consent Agreement"). The Board's Executive Director executed the Interim Consent Agreement on August 29, 2003.

- 5. The Interim Consent Agreement required Respondent to undergo the evaluation at Banner Behavioral Health ("Banner.") However, Respondent did not present to Banner as required, instead he presented to Desert Canyon, a treatment center that utilizes a rational recovery method of treatment. The Board has not approved of either rational recovery or Desert Canyon.
- 6. Respondent testified that he appreciated the opportunity to address the Board and explain why he made the decision to present to Desert Canyon. Respondent testified that he had previously discussed with the Board his having violating the Interim Consent Agreement. Respondent asked the Board to consider a variety of factors that influenced his decision to go to Desert Canyon rather than the traditional 12-Step Program offered by Banner. Respondent testified that when he was first offered the Interim Consent Agreement he was in the process of evaluating what was the best treatment for his problem. Respondent noted that his previous experience with the 12-Step Program was very negative. Respondent testified that he signed the Interim Consent Agreement with the underlying threat of having his license summarily suspended.
- 7. Respondent testified that when he returned the signed Interim Consent Agreement to the Board he included a cover letter stating that he was still evaluating the different programs and was interested in Desert Canyon. Respondent stated that he also provided Dr. Sucher with information about Desert Canyon asking if it could be approved

and he did not receive a response. Respondent testified that he ultimately wrote a letter to the Board's Executive Director and explained his reasons for deciding to go to Desert Canyon rather than Banner.

- 8. Respondent testified that he believed he honored the spirit of the Interim Consent Agreement, maybe not the letter, but he believed the goal of the Interim Consent Agreement was to get him better and after evaluating the different possibilities he chose to go to Desert Canyon. Respondent stated that he chose Desert Canyon for his health because in the long term he cannot be a doctor if he is not sober. Respondent noted that throughout the process he provided information to the Executive Director and during treatment he wrote weekly letters to the Board with data and studies about the program he was enrolled in.
- 9. Respondent testified that over the past couple of months he had continued to provide the Board with further research and documentation about the Desert Canyon program and voluntarily underwent a supervised hair drug test to provide documentation of his non-use of any opiates and several other drugs. Respondent testified that he believed that Dr. Sucher would be recommending that the Board approve Desert Canyon as a treatment center. Respondent testified that he would like to close this chapter of his life. Board Staff noted that they were unaware of Dr. Sucher having made any decision regarding whether to accept Desert Canyon as an evaluation/treatment center.
- 10. Respondent was asked when he was first treated for chemical dependency. Respondent testified that it was probably in 1984 or 1985. Respondent was asked what type of treatment he underwent at that time. Respondent stated that he underwent an inpatient 12-Step program for physicians. Respondent testified that he relapsed one month after completing the program and then returned to the program and remained for a second period of four months.

- 11. Respondent testified that after completing the program a second time he remained sober until approximately August 2003 when he was brought to the attention of the Board. Respondent was asked why he would believe the 12-Step program would not offer him an opportunity for success when the 12-Step program he completed in the 1980s led to approximately twenty years of sobriety. Respondent stated that although he had a reasonable success he was not really participating in the 12-Step program and that he pretty much succeeded on his own. Respondent testified that once he went through Desert Canyon's rational recovery approach he found that the rational recovery approach is that people begin to learn those behaviors that caused them to act and to take medications and ways to avoid doing that. Respondent stated that he discovered his recovery in the 1980s was done using the rational recovery approach and he had not even known it at the time:
- 12. Respondent was asked if he read the Interim Consent Agreement prior to signing it. Respondent stated that he had and that he understood the gist of the Interim Consent Agreement, but that some of the legalities were complex and confusing. Respondent stated that at the time he signed the Interim Consent Agreement he was still active in his disease and may not have been totally competent to sign. Respondent was asked his sobriety date. Respondent testified that he did not recall the specific date, but it was approximately one week before he started treatment the first week in September of 2003.
- 13. Respondent stated that he felt he did not violate the spirit of the Interim Consent Agreement because it required that he get treatment and he got treatment. Respondent was asked if that was what the Interim Consent Agreement said or did it say that he was to go to Banner, that he would comply with recommendations made by Banner and that he would not practice until he had completed treatment at Banner and

17.

. 25

applied to the Board for permission to return to practice. Respondent testified that the Board's recitation of the terms of the Interim Consent Agreement was correct.

- 14. Respondent was asked if his recovery was currently being monitored by any entity or facility. He stated that his recovery was not being monitored. Respondent testified that he attempted to enroll in the program offered by his current employer, but was told that because he did not have an active license, he could not participate. Respondent stated that he did the hair test because he believed it offered a valid test of a previous three-month period and he had Desert Canyon do the test to show that he had not relapsed.
- 15. Respondent was asked if he is undergoing any group therapy or attending any support meetings. Respondent stated that he was not. Respondent testified that Dr. Sucher had informed him that he would need to be evaluated by a Board-approved facility in order to determine if he was fit to return to practice and that he had made arrangements to do so.
- the hair test, that test only searches for five drugs and Respondent's drug of choice is not one of the five.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Arizona Medical Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- 2. The Board has received substantial evidence supporting the Findings of Fact described above and said findings constitute unprofessional conduct or other grounds for the Board to take disciplinary action.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(26)(r) ("[v]iolating a formal order, probation,

1

consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter.")

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand for violating a Board Order.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, as amended, the petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order and pursuant to A.A.C. R4-16-102, it must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. If a motion for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

DATED this 10 th day of September , 2004.

THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

Executive Director

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this

Arizona Medical Board

9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road

	1
1	Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
2	Executed copy of the foregoing
3	mailed by U.S. Certified Mail this day of September , 2004, to:
4	Robert Milligan
5	Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A. 2575 East Camelback Road
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
7	Executed copy of the foregoing
8	mailed by U.S. Mail this day of <u>of mow</u> , 2004, to:
9	Martin L. Meyers, M.D.
10	Address of Record.
11	Show
12	
13	
14	
15	· 大大大 , "有一种一致 [1887] " 多个, 大大师, 人。"
16	